

**Stennett, Jasmine**

---

**From:** ANNE RAMSAY [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 February 2026 19:27  
**To:** Planning Policy Consultations  
**Subject:** Urgent: Registering an objection to a proposed exemption to planning in the CoL

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION:** This email is from outside the Corporation. Do not open attachments, click on links or scan QR codes in this email unless you recognise the sender's e-mail address and know the content is safe.

Dear City of London Planning

Re:

CITY PLAN 2040

CONSULTATION - deadline 6th February 2026

+ Main Modification 55 - allows for isolated "tall buildings" (over 75m) to be redeveloped with no upper limit .....unlike in the cluster where a set of contours constrains the height

I am a resident of the City of London and have become aware of a proposal to grant the City a new exemption as set out above.

I am very concerned by this proposal, and the manner in which it has been put forward. It does very much appear to have been proposed in a way that has tried to keep the issue under the radar and to now incorporate it into the City Plan 2040 which has previously undergone consultation.

This lack of transparency is concerning in itself. However the wording of the exemption itself exacerbates this. It appears to be an unnecessary additional power for the City, particularly as it appears to have been designed to apply to existing planning proposals such as Silk Street. If this exemption were to be granted it would result in a significant limitation in the planning process of the right of stakeholders to properly object to planning applications, and to the obligation of the city to substantiate its position. The heritage of the City of London is one of its best features, and whilst that needs to be balanced with all objectives it is important that its governance is balanced and fairly administered.

I would like to record my objection to this proposal, the existing Tall Buildings designation should remain as is. If this exemption is to be put forward a proper consultation process with full explanation from the City should be undertaken.

Yours faithfully

Anne Ramsay  
[REDACTED]