

Stennett, Jasmine

From: Barbara Corley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 05 February 2026 11:40
To: Planning Policy Consultations
Subject: Ref: Change MM55 to the City Plan 2040 and 1 Silk Street Objections.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email is from outside the Corporation. Do not open attachments, click on links or scan QR codes in this email unless you recognise the sender's e-mail address and know the content is safe.

Dear Sirs,

OBJECTION to the Plan for 1 Silk Street. (25/00829/FULEIA) and MM55.

I wish to repeat my OBJECTION to the plan for 1 Silk Street as put forward in 2025 by the City regardless of MM55.

1. The HEIGHT and MASS of the proposed 1 Silk Street building is too great to place in this narrow side street.
2. It is very much TOO CLOSE to a Listed Residential Area in the City.
3. There will be a loss of light by DAY to Residents in that Residential area.
4. There will be Light all night from the proposed offices in 1 Silk Street.
5. The proposed building will bring additional NOISE, Road Traffic and FOOTFALL to what is a City of London Residential area.
6. This Sky scraper is WRONG for this street and I wish my OBJECTIONS to stand.

Yours sincerely,

Dr BMG Corley,

[REDACTED]

Long Leaseholder and Citizen of the City of London